



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. ROY 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,823	10/29/2001	Eduard K. de Jong	SUN-P6991	3414
75	590 09/10/2003			
David B. Ritchie Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP P.O. Box 640640			EXAMINER	
			VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	0
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRG				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
-		10/014,823	DE JONG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C. Vaughn, Jr.	2143				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	<u>lovember 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-3}}$ is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)[7]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiror.					
-		nriority under 35 LLS C. & 119/a	\-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)	<u> </u>	s have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•					
* (application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) X Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3.</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Datent and T	rademark Office						

WW

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to the papers filed 01 November 2002.

Priority

2. The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claim in this application is 29 October 2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on 01 April 2002, 14 June 2002 and 01 November 2002, have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).
- 4. The application has been examined. Claims 1-3 are pending. The objection(s) and rejection(s) cited are as stated below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tabuki, U.S. Patent No. 5,706,427.

Art Unit: 2143

7. Regarding claim 1, Tabuki discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Tabuki discloses a method for enhanced quality of identification in a data communication network (Tabuki teaches in summary a method for authenticating users on networks that includes an application server that requests a user host to send authentication data to a verification server) [see Tabuki, abstract, Col. 2, lines 24-39], the method comprising: obtaining a user identifier, said user identifier comprising an identification server ID (verification server name) and an identification randomized ID (Sys Uniq Key), (Tabuki teaches utilizing a Sys Uniq Key which is a system key assigned to each user, and is unique in the verification server's table. As well as utilizing the user's Sys Uniq Key, the system of Tabuki further teaches this key is utilize in combination with the verification server name), [see Tabuki, Col. 5, lines 30-60 and Col. 6, lines 23-27], said identification server ID identifying an identification server peer group (Tabuki further teaches utilizing the verification server's name in addition to the Sys Uniq key when there is a plurality of different verification servers), [see Tabuki, Col. 6, lines 4-38], said identification server peer group comprising at least one server that maintains a mapping between an identification randomized ID and a user authentication peer group capable of authenticating a user associated with a particular randomized ID and a mapping between said identification randomized ID and user information (Tabuki teaches that a verification servers have an internal database with identification data and valid authentication data of user hosts (user authentication peer group)), [see Tabuki, Col. 4, lines 22-35, Col. 5, lines 21-38]; requesting authorization of said user by presenting said user identifier to a corresponding identification server peer group (Tabuki again teaches identification and authentication of the user is obtained by a combination of the Sys Uniq and verification server name), [see Tabuki, Col. 6, lines 19-

Art Unit: 2143

37], each server in said identification server peer group (plurality of verification servers) configured to search for one or more matching entries including said randomized ID (Tabuki teaches that authentication data of the user is sent to a verification server, in which the verification server matches authentication data of the user by searching within a relational database), [see Tabuki, Col. 3, lines 5-22 and Col. 4, lines 33-45]. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.

- 8. Claim 2 list all the same elements of claim 1, but in program storage device form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to claim 1 applies equally as well to claim 2.
- 9. Claim 3 list all the same elements of claim 1, but in apparatus form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection of claim 1 applies equally as well to claim 3.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Vaughn, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 306-9129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

William C. Vaughn, Jr.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

Page 5

August 11, 2003